**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 174 of 2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.01.2013

Pohap Singh

……Appellant

Versus

Faridabad Complex and others

…….Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.N. JINDAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.K. Sood, Advocate,
for the respondents.

*****

A.N. Jindal, J.

The present appeal has arisen out of the order dated 18.01.1999 passed by the Additional District Judge, Faridabad, dismissing the applications filed by the appellant under Order 39 Rule 2-A read with Section 151 CPC.

From the evidence adduced by the parties, it is clear that the appellant has not been able to prove that any road was constructed after the issuance of the order dated 11.02.1992 by the Court because he has not been able to examine even a single witness from the area, who might have seen the construction work going on in the month of April 1992 as alleged by him. His self serving statement which has been disputed by the respondents

regarding the construction of the road in violation of the Court order, is not sufficient to hold the fact alleged by him.

No grounds to interfere.

Dismissed.

(A.N.Jindal)
Judge

29.01.2013
ajp